	Case 1:23-cv-01324-JLT-GSA Documer	nt 29 Filed 11/12/24 Page 1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHARLENE STITH,	No. 1:23-cv-00947 JLT GSA (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY
13	v.	PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE
15	Defendants.	ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROOF(S) OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
16		
17	VICTORIA GREEN,	No. 1:23-cv-00973 JLT GSA (PC)
18	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY
19	V.	PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
20 21	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE
22	Defendants.	ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROOF(S) OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
23		
24	TREMAINE CARROLL,	No. 1:23-cv-00974 JLT GSA (PC)
25	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY
26	v.	PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
27	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE
28		ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROOF(S)
		1

Defendants.	OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
JONATHAN ROBERTSON,	No. 1:23-cv-00975 JLT GSA (PC)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAIN HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  Defendants.	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROC OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
FANCY MOORE LIPSEY,	No. 1:23-cv-00976 JLT GSA (PC)
Plaintiff, v. STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Defendants.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAIN HAVE NOT BEEN FILED  COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROC OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
RAYSHAWN HART,	No. 1:23-cv-00977 JLT GSA (PC)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAIN HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  Defendants.	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROC OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS
CRYSTAL GRAHAM	No. 1:23-cv-01323 JLT GSA (PC)
Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAIN HAVE NOT BEEN FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,  Defendants.	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROC OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS

## Case 1:23-cv-01324-JLT-GSA Document 29 Filed 11/12/24 Page 3 of 4

1	ROXANNE PURDAGONE,	No. 1:23-cv-01324 JLT GSA (PC)	
2	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY	
3	v.	PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT HAVE NOT BEEN FILED	
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE	
5	Defendants.	ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROOF(S) OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS	
6	5		
7	ZENAIDA CORDOVA	No. 1:24-cv-00482 JLT GSA (PC)	
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO INFORM COURT WHY	
9	v.	PROOF(S) OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT HAVE NOT BEEN FILED	
10	GREG RODRIGUEZ, et al.,		
11	Defendants.	COUNSEL'S RESPONSE, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, THE FILING OF PROOF(S) OF SERVICE, DUE IN SEVEN DAYS	
12		of SERVICE, DOE IN SEVEN DIVIS	
13	On October 29, 2024, in Carroll v. Sta	te of California, No. 1:23-cv-00974 JLT GSA, the	
14	Court ordered Deputy Attorney General ("DAG") Arthur B. Mark, III – who represents named defendants in the other matters that have been related – to inform the Court whether the Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") was representing any defendants in the <u>Carroll</u> case, and if so, to file a notice of appearance. <u>See Carroll</u> , ECF No. 26. DAG Mark was given seven days to file a response to the Court's order.		
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20	On November 4, 2024, DAG Mark made a special appearance on behalf of Respondent OAG, and filed a response to the Court's order. Based on the contents of that response, the Court		
21			
22	reviewed the docket in Carroll and the dockets in the related matters identified above and notes		
23	that no proofs of service indicating that the operative complaint in each matter was served on the		
24	appropriate defendant(s) have been filed with the Court. Therefore, counsel for Plaintiffs,  Attorney Joseph A. Virgilio, will be ordered to inform the Court why he has not filed the  appropriate proofs of service with the Court. As an alternative to informing the Court why the		
25			
26			
27	proofs of service have not been filed, Attorney Virgilio may file them in each of the above-		
28	referenced cases. Counsel will be given sever	days to take either course of action.	

	Case 1:23-cv-01324-JLT-GSA Document 29 Filed 11/12/24 Page 4 of 4			
1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
2	1. Counsel for Plaintiffs, Attorney Joseph A. Virgilio, shall INFORM the Court why he			
3	has not filed proofs of service of the operative complaints in each of the above-referenced			
4	matters;			
5	2. As an alternative to informing the Court why the proofs of service have not been filed,			
6	Attorney Virgilio may FILE the proofs of service of the operative complaints in each of the			
7	above-referenced matters, and			
8	3. Attorney Virgilio will be given seven days from the date of this order to take either			
9	course of action.			
10				
11				
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
13	Dated: November 9, 2024 /s/ Gary S. Austin			
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	4			